


Inka Kaufmann Alves
Marcel Kalsch, Tanja Meyer

Implementation of new sanitation concepts in a city scale

- finding long-term strategies by mathematical optimisation



- **Background and Motivation**
 - Question: What's the optimal way to the favoured future system?
- **Methods**
 - Development of a mathematical optimisation model
 - Application case study
- **Implementation**
 - Scenarios and specifications
 - Results and discussion
- **Conclusions and Outlook**

Background and Objectives

What's the present state?

centralised supply and disposal concepts

- apparently not sustainable
- numerous disadvantages

How to get there?

What do we aim for?

changes in exposure to wastewater

- stormwater: turning away from piped drainage systems
- sewage: discussion of alternatives for disposal

How can new sanitation concepts be realised in existing systems?

high demand of rehabilitation

intensive reconstruction work /extensive efforts

- every step of reconstruction should ecologically and economically benefit the future
- new strategies for "hot plug-in" are required


Development of a mathematical approach

Mathematical Model


**initial
situation**

**mathema-
tical model**

aim



- **Suburb of Kaiserslautern: KL-Siegelbach**
 - rural catchment of 90 ha area
 - 3,000 inhabitants
 - heterogeneous forms of housing / use
 - 70 % drained by combined sewer system (3 overflow devices)
 - wastewater is transported to central WWTP of Kaiserslautern (220,000 p.e.)
 - effects on WWTP not included in this study




Implementation - future state

- **future state and conditions**
 - **example** of future state for implementation
 - + **Scenario 1**: seperated treatment of **blackwater, greywater** should be treated centrally in WWTP
 - + **stormwater** runoff and wastewater should not be mixed any more, achieve natural stormwater management


Implementation - future state

- **period of consideration**
 - 50 years of conversion + 30 years of 'maintenance'
- **objective functions: economic costs**
 - total project costs with 3 % interest rate
 - budget 2.5 million € / time step (5 years)
- **objective functions: ecologic costs**
 - many different criteria count to these costs
 - **3 different modifications**
 - a)** no ecological criteria (minimise only econ. costs)
 - b)** only 'resources protection' should be minimised
 - c)** 3 criteria (resources protection, natural water cycle, emissions)
 - weight economic costs : ecologic costs = 1:1

Results – Scenario 1 (blackwater)



Results – activities S1c



Conclusions and Outlook

- **To reach a future state different optimal solutions are possible!**
 - choice of criteria is essential
 - not only apparent criteria should be chosen for minimisation!

- **only the discussion of local deciders with engineers can lead to definite choice of solution**
 - difficult!
 - potential of the approach in making possible to show all impacts in detail when calculating different scenarios
 - **big potential for complex systems!**

Inka Kaufmann Alves
Marcel Kalsch, Tanja Meyer

Implementation of new sanitation concepts in a city scale

- finding long-term strategies by mathematical optimisation

